



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/325,423	06/04/1999	SYED S. ALI	ALI-12-8-1	2792

7590 09/10/2002

FARKAS & MANELLI PLLC
2000 M STREET NW
7TH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DC 200363307

EXAMINER

PHAN, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2645

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/325,423

Applicant(s)

ALI ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph T Phan

Art Unit

2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/04/1999.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 June 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rogers et al., Patent #5,946,386.

Regarding claims 1, Rogers teaches a notification module for a voice messaging system, comprising:

an auto dialing calling module adapted to initiate a communication with a user at a remote location (101 Fig.1 and 208 Fig.2, col.9 lines 41-47, col.36 lines 23-26 and 35-45);

a table associating particular events with a need for notification of said user (215 Fig.2; col.36 lines 14-20 and 35-40) and

Art Unit: 2645

a controller to activate said auto dialing calling module to notify said user upon satisfaction of a particular event (201 Fig.2, col.24 lines 54-57, col.36 lines 23-26, 35-40 and col. 38 lines 42-48);

wherein said satisfaction of said particular event is determined based on a comparison of entries in said table to call related information contained in a log (col.36 lines 23-26).

Regarding claim 2, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 1, wherein:
said call related information is Caller ID information (col.11 lines 58-59).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 1, wherein:
said particular events include a occurrence of a predetermined number of telephone calls within a range of time from a particular caller (col.38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claim 5, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 1, wherein:
said particular events include a predetermined number of telephone calls from any party within a predetermined range of time (col.38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 1, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2645

an audible notification message to be communicated to said remote user (col.38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claim 8, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 6, wherein:

said notification message is a textual message (col. 38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 1, further comprising:

a remote notification designation identifying a telephone number/communication address of said remote user (col.38 lines 42-48; telephone number of car phone).

Regarding claim 11, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 10, wherein:
said communication is provided by establishment of a telephone call (col.38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claim 12, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 10, wherein:
said communication includes transmission of information over the Internet (100 Fig.1 and 207,214 Fig.2).

Regarding claim 13, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 10, wherein:
said communication is an email message(col.4 lines 27-34 and col.5 line 66).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 14, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 10, wherein:
said voice messaging system is a telephone answering device (col.23 lines 29-34).

Regarding claim 15, Rogers teaches the notification module for a voice messaging system according to claim 1, further comprising:
a call related information detector/receiver to detect and receive call relating information regarding incoming telephone calls(col.11 lines 58-61).

Regarding claim 16, Rogers teaches a method for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls, comprising:
logging call related information as it is received by said voice messaging system (col.23 lines 31-45;
reviewing said logged call related information for satisfaction of a predetermined event based on said logged call related information and notifying a remote user with a notification message in response to satisfaction of said predetermined event (col.24 lines 54-57).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Rogers teaches the method for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls according to claim 16, wherein:
said remote user is notified by the establishment of a telephone call from said voice message system (col.38 lines 42-48).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 19, Rogers teaches the method for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls according to claim 16, wherein:

said remote user is notified with a textual notification message (col. 38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claim 20, Rogers teaches the method for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls according to claim 16, wherein:

said textual notification message is an email message (col.4 lines 27-34 and col.5 line 66).

Regarding claim 21, Rogers teaches the method for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls according to claim 16, wherein:

said call related information is Caller ID information (col.38 lines 42-48).

Regarding claim 22, Rogers teaches the method for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls according to claim 16, wherein:

said voice messaging system is a telephone answering device (col.23 lines 31-36).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 23, Rogers teaches an Apparatus for notifying a user of a voice messaging system or other designated party upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on logged call related information regarding incoming calls, comprising:
means for logging call related information as it is received by said voice messaging system (Fig.1, col.23 lines 31-45;
means for reviewing said logged call related information for satisfaction of a predetermined event based on said logged call related information for notifying a remote user with a notification message in response to satisfaction of said predetermined event (col.24 lines 54-57).

Regarding claim 24, Rogers teaches a telephone answering device comprising: a controller and voice recorder/playback module (101 Fig.1, 201 Fig.2 and col.23 lines 29-44); and
a calling module activated by receipt of predetermined call related information, said calling module being adapted to initiate a telephone call to a predetermined notification telephone number upon satisfaction of a predetermined event based on received call related information (108 Fig.2 lines 54-57).

Regarding claim 25, Rogers teaches the telephone answering device according to claim 24, wherein:
said calling module is further adapted to provide a notification message to a party answering said predetermined notification telephone number (col.12 lines 18-29).

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph T Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-3206. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-6:30, in every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

JTP

September 9, 2002

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Fan Tsang', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.